

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,269	01/30/2004	Kurt-Robert Kappeler	010751-069	4842
	7590 01/19/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	AUGHENBAUGH, WALTER		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1772	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		01/19/2007	PAPER .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
10/767,269	KAPPELER, KURT-ROBERT	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Walter B. Aughenbaugh	1772	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 11 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a N this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendr places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, which I fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
time periods:	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the statutory period for reply expire l	he mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for use to form the individual of the context of the shortened statutory period for use to form the following the context of th	g amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 i	must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.3 a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set if	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materials. 	erially reducing or simplifying the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims
NOTE: see continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	many rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of	Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	Non-Compliant Amendment (1 101-324).
 ∴ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) ∴ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a second proposed or amended claim(s). 	enarate timely filed amendment canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	eparate, timely filed affection the carteering the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	b) will be entered and an explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .	
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-14,19 and 20</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>15-18</u> .	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of fi because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prince entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections und 	ler appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier present. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	du
•	JENNIFER MONEIL SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
	(/U/OT

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/767,269 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

ADVISORY ACTION

Acknowledgement of Applicant's Amendments

1. The After Final Amendment filed December 11, 2006 has not been entered since the incorporation of the limitation of dependent claim 14 into independent claim 1 raises new issues that require further consideration and search because each of the dependent claims (other than claim 14) did not require the limitation of claim 14 prior to the After Final Amendment.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments presented on pages 6-7 of the After Final Amendment regarding the 35 U.S.C. 102 rejection of claims 1, 3, 4, 19 and 20 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

The recitations "the at least one marking section comprises a date or a production number" of claim 19 and "the at least one marking section indicates a material" of claim 20 are intended use phrases because the actual characters that constitute the marking section vary depending on what the user intends the characters to indicate, as is made clear from the recitations of claims 19 and 20. The actual characters that constitute the marking section vary depending on what the user intends the characters to indicate, as is made clear from the recitations of claims 19 and 20. The relevance of Applicant's statement that "the material that a given hose is made from does not change when that hose is used for different uses" is not explained by Applicant, and this statement is also unsupported: the composition of the hose is not limited to a single composition in claim 1.

3. Applicant's argument presented on page 7 of the After Final Amendment regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 5 has been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant's

Art Unit: 1772

argument depends upon the entry of the After Final Amendment, which has not been entered for the reasons provided above in this Advisory Action.

Page 3

4. Applicant's arguments presented on pages 7-10 of the After Final Amendment regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 14 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that "Stone does not suggest that the marker bands include characters and/or numbers that provide information about any characteristic of the sheath", but claim 14 does not require that the marking sections "provide information about any characteristic of the sheath". The marking section taught by Stone et al. (radiopaque marker, col. 5, lines 43-50) comprises a character since any marking is a character because a character is a graphic symbol used in writing or printing as evidenced by definition 1b of Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary. Paragraph 7 of previous Office Action mailed August 9, 2006. Applicant argues that a band is not a character, but a band is a character because it is a graphic symbol used in writing or printing. The words "as a" in definition 1b do not require that a character is a hieroglyph or alphabet letter as Applicant suggests. A band, which, in one form, may reasonably be considered to be a line segment, is no different from, for example, a lower-case "l" in the font Applicant has used in print in Applicant's arguments in the After Final Amendment. Applicant states that "the Office Action provides no basis for the assertion that Stone's 'bands' are necessarily a 'character'", but the Office Action need not show that the only possible embodiment of the bands of Stone is an embodiment where the bands are characters. A band, which, in one form, may reasonably be considered to be a line segment, is no different from, for example, a lower-case "l" in the font Applicant has used in print in Applicant's arguments in the After Final Amendment.

Art Unit: 1772

Furthermore, text and numbers fall within the scope of the teaching of "markers" at col. 5, line 44 of Stone et al.

Applicant's arguments in the first paragraph on page 9 of the After Final Amendment do not take into account the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 14 of record. The recitation "recurring mode of arrangement" is recited in claim 14.

In regard to Applicant's arguments in the second paragraph on page 9 of the After Final Amendment, the bands of Stone are characters for the reasons discussed above, Carden need not disclose or suggest that the bands are in the form of a character and/or number.

Applicant's statements in the paragraph bridging pages 9 and 10 of the After Final

Amendment address each of the references relied upon in the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 14
in a piecemeal fashion, and an argument is not presented in this paragraph. Applicant seems to
have intended to argue that there is no motivation to combine the references (although there can
be no certainty that Applicant intended to argue that there is no motivation to combine the
references from the language of Applicant's arguments in the paragraph bridging pages 9 and
10), but since no convincing reasoning is presented as to how there is no motivation to combine
the references, the rejection is maintained. The motivation to combine the references is clear
from the rejection of record: both references pertain to radiopaque markers for medical devices
that are implantable in the body, where the markers are used to assist the healthcare worker in
positioning the devices within the body.

5. Applicant's argument presented on pages 10-11 of the After Final Amendment regarding the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejections of claims 7-13 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/767,269 Page 5

Art Unit: 1772

Applicant's arguments depend upon the entry of the After Final Amendment, which has not been entered for the reasons provided above in this Advisory Action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter B. Aughenbaugh whose telephone number is (571) 272-1488. While the examiner sets his work schedule under the Increased Flexitime Policy, he can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:45am to 5:15pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Walter B. Aughenbaugh 01/10/07

IENINI RIMONEIL

1/16/07